AMENDMENT(S) TO THE CLAIMS:

The following listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims on the application.

For the convenience of the examiner, an Appendix containing a clean listing of the claims after this amendment is also provided after the comments.

1.   (Currently amended) A method comprising:

a central management entity managing managed access points (APs) of a wireless network, including carrying out one or both of power control and frequency selection to configure one or more configuration parameters of the managed access point;

maintaining an AP database that includes information about managed ~~access point (APs)~~ APs and friendly APs ~~of a~~ of the wireless network, including for each managed AP in the AP database, the ~~MAC address~~ service set identifier of ~~each~~ the managed AP~~, a managed AP being an AP whose configuration is managed by a central management entity, the information about managed APs maintained in the database including information related to how each managed APs is configured~~ and one or more of the configuration parameters;

sending a scan request to one or more managed APs of the wireless network, the scan request including ~~one or more of~~ a request for the receiving managed AP to scan for beacons and probe responses ~~and a request for the receiving managed AP to request the AP's clients to scan for beacons and probe responses~~; and

receiving reports from at least one of the receiving managed APs about beacons or probe responses from any potential rogue AP, ~~a report~~ including, for each potential rogue AP from which a beacon or probe response was received, detection information, and information on the ~~any~~ beacon or probe response received ~~that was~~ sent by ~~an~~ the potential rogue AP, ~~including the MAC address of the beacon/probe response sending AP~~

wherein the detection information includes the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP, and at least one further item of information, and

wherein the information on the received beacon or probe response includes at least the service set identifier in the beacon or probe response, and one or more configuration parameters; and

for each beacon or probe response from a potential rogue AP on which information is received, ~~analyzing the information received in the report about the AP that sent the beacon or probe response, the analyzing~~ ascertaining if the potential rogue AP is a managed AP, including:

ascertaining if there is a match for the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP in the AP database, and if there is a match for one or more configuration parameters of the potential rogue AP in the AP database in addition to the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP, ~~the MAC address of the AP that sent the beacon or probe response matches a MAC address of an AP in the AP database to ascertain whether or not the AP is a potential rogue AP or a managed or friendly AP,~~

such that at least a plurality of parameters are matched in the AP database to ascertain whether a potential rogue AP is a managed AP.

~~wherein the analyzing includes comparing configuration information in the received report related to the beacon or probe response with information stored in the AP database related to the configuration of managed APs.~~

2.   (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the wireless network substantially conforms to the IEEE 802.11 standard for wireless local area networks.

3.   (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the maintaining the AP database includes updating the AP database from time to time.

4.   (Cancelled).

5.   (Previously presented) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the analysis further includes determining the approximate location of the potential rogue AP in order to further ascertain whether the potential rogue AP is likely to be a rogue, a location determining method that uses information determined from signals received from the potential rogue AP at a plurality of managed APs whose locations are known or at stations whose respective locations are known or determined, and calculating a likely location using the determined information.

6.   (Previously presented) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the sending a request includes sending a request to one or more wireless stations of the wireless network to listen for beacons and probe responses on the respective serving channels of the respective wireless stations and to report the results of the listening.

7.   (Previously presented) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the sending a request includes sending a request for one or more wireless stations to temporarily listen for beacons and probe responses on a channel specified in the request and to report the results of the listening.

8.   (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the sending a request includes sending a request for one or more managed access points to listen for beacons and probe responses and to report the results of the listening.

9.   (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the sending a request includes sending a request for one or more clients of one or more managed access points to listen for beacons and probe responses and to report the results of the listening.

10. (Cancelled).

11. (Previously presented) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the analyzing further includes using timing information determined from the beacon or probe response to further ascertain whether the AP is likely to be a rogue.

12. (Original) A method as recited in claim 11, wherein the analyzing further includes using known location information of managed APs together with the timing information to determine the approximate location of the potential rogue AP.

13. (Currently amended) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the detection information includes absolute RSSI information, and wherein the analyzing further includes using known location information of managed APs to approximately locate the potential rogue AP, and method further comprising:

> locating the potential rogue AP by using the absolute RSSI at the station receiving the beacon or probe response together with a calibrated path loss model of an area of interest that provides path losses at various locations to or from managed stations at known locations.

14. (Original) A method as recited in claim 13, wherein the locating includes:

> accepting an ideal path loss model applicable to an area of interest;
>
> calibrating the ideal path loss model using measurements received from each respective managed station of a first set of managed wireless stations of the wireless network measuring the received signal strengths at each of the respective managed stations, the managed stations receiving signals as a result of transmissions by respective managed stations of a second set of managed wireless stations of the wireless network, each respective transmission at a known respective transmit power, the locations of each managed station of the first and second set being known or determined, the calibrating being to determine a calibrated path loss model between the receiving and transmitting wireless stations;

receiving measurements from each respective managed station of a

third set of managed wireless stations of the wireless network measuring the

received signal strength at each of the respective stations resulting from

transmission of a beacon or probe response from a potential rogue access

point, each station of the third set being at a known or determined location;

and

for each of a set of assumed transmit powers for the potential rogue

access point, determining the likely location or locations of the potential

rogue access point using the received signal strengths at the stations of the

third set and the calibrated path loss model.

15.   (Original) A method as recited in claim 14, wherein the determining of the likely

location or locations includes:

determining a set of likelihood components for each of a set of

locations, each component corresponding to a respective managed access

point whose transmissions are listened for at the particular station, and

determining an overall likelihood for each of the set of locations as

the product of the likelihood components.

16.   (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein further comprising combining the

results of the analyzing step with the results of one or more complementary rogue AP

detection techniques.

17.   (Original) A method as recited in claim 16, wherein one of the complementary rogue

AP detection techniques includes a client reporting to a managed AP a failed previous

authentication attempt with an AP.

18.   (Currently amended) A method comprising:

receiving a scan request at an ~~AP~~ access point (AP) of a wireless network to scan

for beacons and probe responses, the request received from a management entity

coupled to a WLAN manager managing a set of managed APs ~~and client stations of~~

~~the managed APs~~, the managing of the managed APs including carrying out one or

both of power control and frequency selection to configure one or more configuration

parameters of the managed APs ~~managing configuration of managed APs~~ and

maintaining an AP database that contains information about managed APs and

friendly APs of the wireless network, the information in the AP database including for

each managed AP in the AP database, the ~~MAC address~~ service set identifier of ~~each~~

~~the~~ managed AP and one or more of the configuration parameters ~~information related~~

~~to how each managed APs is configured~~;

~~one or both of~~ listening for beacons and probe responses at the AP receiving the

scan request ~~or sending a client request to one or more client stations associated with~~

~~the AP receiving the scan request to listen for beacons and probe responses~~; and

~~in the case that a client request was sent, receiving a client report at the AP from at~~

~~least one of the wireless stations to which the client request was sent, the client report~~

~~including information on any beacon or probe response received from a potential~~

~~rogue AP; and~~

sending a scan report to the WLAN manager including information on any beacon

or probe response received from a potential rogue AP by the AP receiving the scan

request ~~or in the case that a client request was sent, by any client stations from a report~~

~~was received~~, the information including ~~the MAC address of the potential rogue AP~~,

for each potential rogue AP from which a beacon or probe response was received,

detection information, and information on the beacon or probe response from the

potential rogue AP,

wherein the detection information includes the service set identifier of the

potential rogue AP, and at least one further item of information, and

<u>   wherein the information on the received beacon or probe response includes at least the service set identifier in the beacon or probe response, and one or more configuration parameters,</u>

such that for each beacon or probe response <u>from a potential rogue AP</u> on which information is received at the WLAN manager, ~~analyzing the information received in the report about the potential rogue AP that sent the beacon or probe response,~~ <u>ascertaining if the potential rogue AP is a managed AP,</u> including<u>:</u>

<u>   ascertaining if</u><u>there is a match for the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP in the AP database, and if there is a match for one or more configuration parameters of the potential rogue AP in the AP database in addition to the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP,</u> ~~the MAC address of the potential rogue AP matches a MAC address of an AP in the AP database leads to ascertaining whether or not the potential AP is likely to be a rogue AP, the analyzing including comparing configuration information in the received report related to the beacon or probe response with information stored in the AP database about the configuration of managed APs.~~

<u>   such that at least a plurality of parameters are matched in the AP database to ascertain whether a potential rogue AP is a managed AP.</u>

19. (Original) A method as recited in claim 18, wherein the scan request includes a request to scan for beacons and probe responses on the respective serving channel of each respective wireless AP or client station and to report the results of the listening.

20. (Original) A method as recited in claim 18, wherein the scan request a includes a request for the listening stations AP or client station to temporarily listen for beacons and probe responses on a channel specified in the request and to report the results of the listening.

21. (Original) A method as recited in claim 18, wherein the scan request from the WLAN manager and the scan report to the WLAN manager use a protocol that provides for and encapsulates scan request messages and scan report messages in IP packets.

22. (Original) A method as recited in claim 21, wherein the request from an AP to a client station, and the report from the client station to an AP uses MAC frames.

23. (Currently amended) A method as recited in claim 21, wherein the scan request includes a set of scan parameters that describe how information is to be obtained about beacons and probe responses received by the managed AP or clients thereof.

24. (Currently amended) A method as recited in claim 23, wherein the scan parameters include one or more of:

    whether the requested scan is an active scan or a passive scan or both an active and passive scan, and if an active scan, one or more channels for the active scan, and

    the schedule of how often scans are to be performed, and

    whether the performing of the scan is to be by the AP receiving the scan request, the managed clients thereof, or both the AP and AP's clients.

25. (Currently amended) A method as recited in claim 23, wherein after receiving the task request, the receiving AP sets up tasking according to the scan request, including scheduling any scans to be performed by the receiving AP itself, and also, in the case the tasking includes scanning by one or more clients, scheduling scans to be performed by the clients by sending request frames to the appropriate clients.

26. (Currently amended) A computer-readable medium encoded with computer readable instructions that when executed cause to instruct one or more processors of a processing system to execute a method comprising:

managing managed access points (APs) of a wireless network, including carrying out one or both of power control and frequency selection to configure one or more configuration parameters of each managed access point;

maintaining an AP database that includes information about managed ~~access point (APs)~~ APs and friendly APs ~~of a~~ of the wireless network, including for each managed AP in the AP database, the ~~MAC address~~ service set identifier of ~~each~~ the managed AP~~, a managed AP being an AP whose configuration is managed by a central management entity, the information about managed APs maintained in the database including information related to how each managed APs is configured~~ and one or more of the configuration parameters;

sending a scan request to one or more managed APs of the wireless network, the scan request including ~~one or more of~~ a request for the receiving managed AP to scan for beacons and probe responses ~~and a request for the receiving managed AP to request the AP's clients to scan for beacons and probe responses~~; and

receiving reports from at least one of the receiving managed APs about beacons or probe responses from any potential rogue AP, ~~a report~~ including, for each potential rogue AP from which a beacon or probe response was received, detection information, and information on the ~~any~~ beacon or probe response received ~~that was~~ sent by ~~an~~ the potential rogue AP, ~~including the MAC address of the beacon/probe response sending AP~~

      wherein the detection information includes the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP, and at least one further item of information, and

      wherein the information on the received beacon or probe response includes at least the service set identifier in the beacon or probe response, and one or more configuration parameters; and

for each beacon or probe response <u>from a potential rogue AP</u> on which information is received, ~~analyzing the information received in the report about the AP that sent the beacon or probe response, the analyzing~~ <u>ascertaining if the potential rogue AP is a managed AP,</u> including<u>:</u>

<u>ascertaining if there is a match for the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP in the AP database, and if there is a match for one or more configuration parameters of the potential rogue AP in the AP database in addition to the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP,</u> ~~the MAC address of the AP that sent the beacon or probe response matches a MAC address of an AP in the AP database to ascertain whether or not the AP is a potential rogue AP or a managed or friendly AP,~~

<u>such that at least a plurality of parameters are matched in the AP database to ascertain whether a potential rogue AP is a managed AP.</u>

~~wherein the analyzing includes comparing configuration information in the received report related to the beacon or probe response with information stored in the AP database related to the configuration of managed APs.~~

27. (Currently amended) A computer-readable medium encoded with computer readable instructions to instruct one or more processors of a processing system to execute a method at an ~~AP~~ <u>access point (AP)</u> of a wireless network comprising:

receiving a scan request to scan for beacons and probe responses, the request received from a <u>management entity coupled to a</u> WLAN manager managing a set of managed APs ~~and client stations of the managed APs~~, the managing <u>of the managed APs</u> including <u>carrying out one or both of power control and frequency selection to configure one or more configuration parameters of the managed APs</u> ~~managing configuration of managed APs of the wireless network~~ and maintaining an AP database that contains information about the managed APs and friendly APs of the wireless network, <u>the information in the AP database</u> including <u>for each managed AP</u>

in the AP database, the ~~MAC address~~ service set identifier of ~~each~~ the managed AP and one or more of the configuration parameters ~~information related to how each managed APs is configured~~;

~~one or both of~~ listening for beacons and probe responses at the AP receiving the scan request ~~or sending a client request to one or more client stations of the AP receiving the scan request to listen for beacons and probe responses~~; and

~~in the case that a client request was sent, receiving a client report from at least one of the wireless stations to which the client request was sent, the client report including information on any beacon or probe response received from a potential rogue AP; and~~

sending a scan report to the WLAN manager including information on any beacon or probe response received from a potential rogue AP by the AP receiving the scan request ~~or, in the case that a client request was sent by any client stations from which a report was received~~, the ~~scan report~~ information including ~~the MAC address of any AP whose beacon/probe response was received~~, for each potential rogue AP from which a beacon or probe response was received, detection information, and information on the beacon or probe response from the potential rogue AP,

wherein the detection information includes the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP, and at least one further item of information, and

wherein the information on the received beacon or probe response includes at least the service set identifier in the beacon or probe response, and one or more configuration parameters,

such that for each beacon or probe response from a potential rogue AP on which information is received at the WLAN manager, ~~analyzing the information received in the report about the potential rogue AP that sent the beacon or probe response~~, ascertaining if the potential rogue AP is a managed AP, including:

ascertaining if there is a match for the service set identifier of the potential

rogue AP in the AP database, and if there is a match for one or more

configuration parameters of the potential rogue AP in the AP database in

addition to the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP, ~~the MAC~~

~~address of the potential rogue AP matches a MAC address of an AP in the AP~~

~~database leads to ascertaining whether or not the potential AP is likely to be a~~

~~rogue AP, the analyzing including comparing configuration information in the~~

~~received report related to the beacon or probe response with information stored~~

~~in the AP database about the configuration of managed APs.~~

such that at least a plurality of parameters are matched in the AP database to ascertain

whether a potential rogue AP is a managed AP.

28. (Currently amended) An apparatus comprising:

a processing system including a memory and a network interface to couple the

apparatus to a network, the network including a set of managed access points (APs) of

a wireless network; ~~network, a managed AP being an AP whose configuration is~~

~~managed by a central management entity,~~ and

a tangible medium storing an AP database coupled to the processing system and

containing information about the managed ~~access point~~ APs and friendly APs of the

wireless network, including information related to how each managed ~~APs~~ AP in the

AP database is configured,

wherein the processing system is programmed to:

manage the managed APs, including carrying out one or both of power control and

frequency selection to configure one or more configuration parameters of each

managed access point;

maintain the AP database that includes information about the managed APs, including for each managed AP, the service set identifier of the managed AP and one or more of the configuration parameters;

send a scan request to one or more managed APs of the wireless network, the scan request ~~including one or more of a request~~ being for the receiving managed AP to scan for beacons and probe responses ~~and a request for the receiving managed AP to request the AP's clients to scan for beacons and probe responses~~; and

receive reports from at least one of the receiving managed APs about beacons or probe responses from any potential rogue AP, ~~a report~~ including, for each potential rogue AP from which a beacon or probe response was received, detection information, and information on the ~~any~~ beacon or probe response received ~~that was~~ sent by ~~an~~ the potential rogue AP, ~~including the MAC address of any AP whose beacon/probe response was received; and~~

wherein the detection information includes the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP, and at least one further item of information, and

wherein the information on the received beacon or probe response includes at least the service set identifier in the beacon or probe response, and one or more configuration parameters; and

for each beacon or probe response from a potential rogue AP on which information is received, ~~analyze the information received in the report about the AP that sent the beacon or probe response, the analyzing~~ ascertaining if the potential rogue AP is a managed AP, including:

ascertaining if there is a match for the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP in the AP database, and if there is a match for one or more configuration parameters of the potential rogue AP in the AP database in addition to the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP, ~~the MAC~~

~~address of the AP that sent the beacon or probe response matches a MAC~~

~~address of an AP in the AP database to ascertain whether or not the AP is a~~

~~potential rogue AP or a managed or friendly AP, the analyzing including~~

~~comparing configuration information in the received report related to the~~

~~beacon or probe response with information stored in the AP database related to~~

~~the configuration of managed APs.~~

<u>such that at least a plurality of parameters are matched in the AP database to ascertain whether a potential rogue AP is a managed AP.</u>

29. (Currently amended) An access point (AP) for a wireless network, the access point comprising:

a processing system including a memory;

a network interface to couple the access point to a network;

a wireless transceiver coupled to the processing system to implement the PHY of a wireless station<u>;</u>

the processing system including a MAC processor and programmed <u>to</u>:

~~to~~ receive a scan request to scan for beacons and probe responses, the request received via the network interface from a <u>management entity coupled to a</u> WLAN manager coupled to the network and managing a set of managed APs ~~and client stations of the managed APs~~, the managing including <u>carrying out one or both of power control and frequency selection to configure one or more configuration parameters of managed APs</u> ~~managing configuration of managed APs~~ and maintaining an AP database that contains information about managed APs and friendly APs of the wireless network, including <u>for each managed AP</u> in the AP database, the ~~MAC address of each~~ <u>service set identifier of the</u> managed AP, and<u> one or more of the configuration parameters</u> ~~information related to how each managed APs is configured~~; <u>and</u>

~~one or both of to~~ listen for beacons and probe responses via the PHY ~~or to send a client request via the PHY to one or more client stations associated with the AP to listen for beacons and probe responses;~~

~~in the case that a client request was sent, to receive a client report from at least one of the client stations to which the client request was sent, the client report including information on any beacon or probe response received at the client station from a potential rogue AP; and~~

~~to~~ send a scan report to the WLAN manager via the network interface, including information on any beacon or probe response received from a potential rogue AP ~~by the AP receiving the scan request or in the case that a client request was sent, by any client stations from a report was received~~, the scan report including ~~the MAC address of any~~ for each potential rogue AP whose ~~beacon/probe response~~ beacon or probe response was received, detection information, and information on the beacon or probe response from the potential rogue AP,

       wherein the detection information includes the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP, and at least one further item of information, and

       wherein the information on the received beacon or probe response includes at least the service set identifier in the beacon or probe response, and one or more configuration parameters,

such that for each beacon or probe response on which information is received at the WLAN manager, analyzing the information received in the report about the potential rogue AP that sent the beacon or probe response includes, in order to ascertain if the potential rogue AP is a managed AP:

       (a) ascertaining if there is a match for the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP in the AP database, and

　　　(b) ascertaining if there is a match for one or more configuration parameters of the potential rogue AP in the AP database in addition to the service set identifier of the potential rogue AP,

　　such that at least a plurality of parameters are matched in the AP database to ascertain whether a potential rogue AP is a managed AP.

, ~~including ascertaining if the MAC address of the potential rogue AP matches a MAC address of an AP in the AP database leads to ascertaining whether or not the potential AP is likely to be a rogue AP, the analyzing including comparing configuration information in the received report related to the beacon or probe response with information stored in the AP database about the configuration of managed APs~~.

30.　(New)　　A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the information stored in the AP database on each managed AP includes a maximum power setting or a frequency setting or both a maximum power and a frequency setting.

31.　(New)　　A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the detection information includes at least the channel the detected AP's beacon or probe response was received on, and wherein the information on the received beacon or probe response includes at least a service set identifier in the beacon or probe response.

32.　(New)　　A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the sending a scan request to one or more managed APs of the wireless network includes a request for the receiving managed AP to request the AP's clients to scan for beacons and probe responses.

33.　(New)　　A method as recited in claim 18, wherein the information stored in the AP database on each managed AP includes a maximum power setting or a frequency setting or both a maximum power and a frequency setting.

34.　(New)　　A method as recited in claim 18, wherein the detection information includes at least the channel the detected AP's beacon or probe response was received on, and

wherein the information on the received beacon or probe response includes at least a service set identifier in the beacon or probe response.

35. (New)   A method as recited in claim 18, wherein the management entity also manages any client stations of the managed APs, and wherein the receiving a scan request also includes a request to request any associated clients to listen for beacons or probe responses, the method further comprising:

sending a client request to one or more client stations associated with the AP to listen for beacons and probe responses; and

in the case that a client request was sent, receiving a client report at the AP from at least one of the client stations to which the client request was sent, the client report including information on any beacon or probe response received from a potential rogue AP.

36. (New)   A computer-readable medium as recited in claim 26, wherein the information stored in the AP database on each managed AP includes a maximum power setting or a frequency setting or both a maximum power and a frequency setting.

37. (New)   A computer-readable medium as recited in claim 26, wherein the detection information includes at least the channel the detected AP's beacon or probe response was received on, and wherein the information on the received beacon or probe response includes at least a service set identifier in the beacon or probe response.

38. (New)   A computer-readable medium as recited in claim 26, wherein the sending a scan request to one or more managed APs of the wireless network includes a request for the receiving managed AP to request the AP's clients to scan for beacons and probe responses.